usjt arq.urb número 39 | abr-dez de 2024 Recebido: 27/05/2023 Aceito: 26/03/2024 DOI: [10.37916/arq.urb.vi39.662](doi:%20https://doi.org/10.37916/arq.urb.vi39.662)

 ω

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

Prevenção de acidentes na construção civil: uma análise da utilização de ferramentas digitais para mitigação de riscos na etapa de projeto

Vinícius Francis Braga de Azevedo*, Bianca Maria Vasconcelos**

*Universidade de Pernambuco, Brasil, vinicius.francis.ba@gmail.com **Universidade de Pernambuco, Brasil, bianca.vasconcelos@upe.br

Keywords: Prevention through design. Construction. Project design. Digital tool. **Palavras-chave:** Prevenção através do projeto. Construção civil. Projeto. Ferramenta digital. **Abstract** Construction is a sector characterized by a high likelihood of accidents, A construção civil é um setor que possui alto risco de acidentes, dentre among the factors that have the potential to reduce such accidents, the os fatores que possuem potencial para reduzir tais acidentes, a etapa design stage is important to reduce risks in the life cycle of the enterprise. de projeto é importante para diminuir os riscos no ciclo de vida do The need to reduce risks on the construction site early and proactively has fostered the development of digital tools for designs. This study aimed to conduct a systematic review of the literature on the development ferramentas digitais para projetos. Este estudo teve como objetivo and application of digital tools capable of identifying security risks in designs and examine them to point out their characteristics and limitations. The review of the literature was based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement. The research showed that although the use of digital tools has great potential for impact on risk mitigation in the design stage, they are still little used and have not reached their maximum potential. In addition, this systematic review indicates possibilities of use, which include the automation of risk verification and provision of security measures, as well as limitations, as the absence of consideration of the dynamism of the work in digital tools. Therefore, the present work presents a basis to help medidas de segurança, bem como limitações, como a ausência de structure future applied research in the area. . **Resumo** empreendimento. A necessidade de reduzir riscos no canteiro de obras de forma antecipada e proativa tem fomentado o desenvolvimento de realizar uma revisão sistemática da literatura sobre o desenvolvimento e aplicação de ferramentas digitais capazes de identificar riscos de segurança em projetos e examiná-los para apontar suas características e limitações. A revisão da literatura foi baseada na declaração Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis. A pesquisa mostrou que embora o uso de ferramentas digitais tenha grande potencial de impacto na mitigação de riscos na fase de projeto, elas ainda são pouco utilizadas e não atingiram seu potencial máximo. Além disso, esta revisão sistemática aponta possibilidades de uso, que incluem a automatização da verificação de riscos e provisão de consideração do dinamismo do trabalho em ferramentas digitais. Portanto, o presente trabalho apresenta uma base para ajudar a estruturar futuras pesquisas aplicadas na área.

Introduction

Civil construction is recognized as an industry with high risks, being among the most fatal accidents (LUO *et al*., 2022; NIELSEN *et al*., 2023; XIA *et al*., 2023; XU; WANG, 2023; WU *et al*., 2022). According to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), in 2019, 20% of deaths of private industry workers occurred in construction. Among the factors that mitigate this scenario, the design stage has the potential to avoid accidents in this sector. According to Vasconcelos (2013), at least 23.6% of occupational accidents can be avoided if safety measures are taken at the design stage. This step can help reduce accidents on the construction (COMAS, 2022; FERREIRA; AGOSTINI, 2022; RAMOS; RAMOS; LYRA, 2021; SCOPEL, 2015; VASCONCELOS; BARKOKÉBAS JUNIOR, 2015; VELOSO, DE SOUZA, 2018; YANO; DE MELO MOURA, 2021).

Prevention through Design (PtD) is considered one of the strategies against accidents, injuries and occupational diseases in construction (ABUEISHEH *et al*., 2020; ANTWI-AFARI *et al*., 2023; HU *et al*., 2023; POGHOSYAN *et al*., 2018). Eliminating risks in the planning and design phases minimizes them in construction, and the earlier they are identified, the greater the chances of controlling them. (GOLABCHI *et al*., 2018; SAMSUDIN *et al*., 2022; TYMVIOS *et al.,* 2020).

Despite recognition of the potential of PtD, its implementation in designs faces obstacles, among which are lack of awareness of PtD among designers, limited availability of PtD tools, and lack of influence or motivation of contractors (POGHOSYAN *et al*., 2018; NNAJI *et al*., 2023). Despite advances in software, other obstacles to the implementation of PtD are caused by the lack of interoperability between security management and PtD software (LI *et al*., 2022), the use of manual software interventions in most PtD tools (MALEKITABAR *et al*., 2016) and the uncertainty factors generated by the absence of the software's ability to deal with the dynamics of the work execution, products and activities (VAN DE POEL; ROBAEY, 2017).

Through literature reviews, other authors have identified gaps in knowledge and suggest that future research should consider details about the challenges, opportunities, and feasibility of PtD in relation to occupational safety and health risks (SAMSUDIN *et al*., 2022). As there is a lack of connection between digital technologies and PtD (FARGHALY *et al*., 2022b), it is crucial to identify which data can be collected in a

database to facilitate communication and promote the exchange of information between PtD knowledge and software (JIN *et al*., 2022). Additionally, it is important to address questions such as what the ideal time during a project's life cycle is to use PtD and whether the use of PtD can be indicated for people without knowledge in safety and design (TYMVIOS *et al*., 2020). In this context, the objective of this study is to systematically review published research on the development and application of digital tools capable of identifying security risks in designing and examine them to point out their characteristics and limitations.

Security risks in designing

According to Barros (1996), the design, production, and assembly sector in the construction industry is divided into three subsectors. The first subsector, known as civil engineering works or heavy construction, encompasses projects involving horizontal structures. Its focus lies in the execution and installation of infrastructure intended to support other industrial sectors. Examples include urbanization works, public roads, slope stabilization, construction of bridges, viaducts, tunnels, hydraulic works, water capture, conveyance, treatment and distribution, as well as sewer network projects, among others (GALIMI *et al*., 2020; TAMOŠAITIENĖ, 2020).

The second subsector is designated as industrial assembly, responsible for assembling structures that enable industrial facilities, covering areas such as water supply, transmission and distribution of energy, mechanical and electrical structures, telecommunications systems, and metal structures (DANIELSSON; HOLM; SYBER-FELDT, 2020; DROUOT *et al*., 2022; PAIVA, 2020). The third subsector, focused on building construction, stands out for its considerable heterogeneity, encompassing a greater number of concentrated projects, particularly in residential, commercial, and industrial buildings (AZEVEDO; MORAES; LIRA, 2021; DOOREN, 2020; SOUTO; CONTO, 2021; HU *et al*., 2023).

According to Vasconcelos (2013), designs can be classified into three types: conception designs, execution designs, and equipment designs. Conception designs refer to the permanent structures of a project, corresponding to architectural, structural, and facility projects (SILVA, 2021; XIE *et al*., 2022). Execution designs are based on the execution design to guide production, such as masonry designs, construction site designs, and safety designs (AKINLOLU *et al*., 2022; CALDAS; TO-LEDO FILHO, 2021). Equipment projects, on the other hand, focus on the production

of the project, such as machinery, cranes, and concrete mixers (PAIVA, 2020).

In the realm of conception designs, attention to safety risks emerges as a crucial component to prevent accidents and mitigate potential threats from the early stages of the process (HARDISON *et al*., 2022; ISMAIL *et al*., 2022). The literature emphasizes the importance of a thorough risk analysis from the initial conception phase, incorporating proactive measures to mitigate risks in the design (JOHANSEN; SCHULTZ; TEIZER, 2024). Various terms are used to define this strategy, such as prevention through design, safety by design, safety through design, design for safety, and safe design (OSBURN; LEE; GAMBATESE, 2022; SAMSUDIN *et al*., 2022).

The identification and analysis of safety risks in conception designs can range from vulnerabilities in architectural design to issues related to facility safety (LU *et al*., 2021). Collaboration between safety professionals and designers is crucial to integrate effective mitigation approaches from the project's inception (JIN *et al*., 2023). Thus, the incorporation of proactive safety practices not only aims to mitigate accident risks through intervention in the conception project but also reflects a commitment to sustainable and secure construction, essential aspects in an increasingly safety-sensitive contemporary scenario (JIN *et al*., 2023; KHALIL; SAMSUDIN; ZAI-NONABIDIN, 2022).

Materials and methods

This article was based on the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses - PRISMA statement (PAGE *et al,*. 2021). To this end, a search protocol was structured, as shown in Table 1. To help define the keywords used in the search, the PICo strategy was used (AKOBENG, 2005; AZEVEDO *et al*., 2023). The PICo strategy refers to the acronym Population, Interest, and Context. In the present study, Population has been defined as technology, method, tools, and software. Interest pertains to Prevention through Design and synonyms used in the literature. Context refers to the field of Construction.

According to PRISMA, the research was developed in three phases: identification, screening and included. In the identification phase, the keywords combined with Boolean operators "AND" and "OR" were used to define the search string: (Technology OR Method OR Tool OR Software) AND ("Prevention through Design" OR "Safety by Design" OR "Safety through Design" OR "Design for Safety" OR "Safe design") AND Construction, limiting the results to articles from primary studies published in Portuguese, English or Spanish. No temporal restrictions were applied. For the definition of databases, we sought to identify international databases that have relevance to the construction area and have journals with indexed articles, following these criteria, we adopted seven databases for research: ASCE Library, Engineering Village, Scopus, Springer Link, Taylor & Francis, Web of Science and Wiley Online Library.

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

In the screening phase, by reading the title and abstract of the articles on the web platform of the databases, the literature was excluded according to the exclusion criteria indicated in Table 1: do not have keywords in the title and summary of the researched literature (EC1), this criterion aims to streamline the identification of articles with clear alignment to the research focus; Studies that address theoretical methods not yet developed for use in practice (EC2), this criterion ensures the inclusion of literature with immediate relevance and applicability to the field, promoting the examination of tools and methods ready for implementation; Studies that specifically focus on digital tools for PtD rather than those broadly addressing human error identification (EC3) aim to narrow the research scope; And studies addressing digital risk identification tools in infrastructure construction projects (EC4), the study's primary focus is on digital tools applied in the design phase for PtD, with an emphasis on civil construction projects. Infrastructure projects, which often involve large-scale and distinct considerations, fall outside the intended scope. After that, the articles were retrieved from the databases for full reading and exclusion according to the exclusion criteria indicated in Table I: secondary or tertiary study articles (EC5), this criterion prioritizes primary studies for a more direct examination of original research contributions.

Table 1. Research Protocol. Source: The authors.

Articles that do not address digital tools (EC6), this criterion ensures that the selected literature contributes directly to the study's exploration of digital tools in the context of PtD; And articles that do not address PtD in the design phase (EC7), this criterion ensures that the selected literature aligns with the primary focus of the study, which is the development and application of digital tools in the design stage for safety considerations. After the screening phase, the remaining articles were included for quantitative and qualitative analysis, described in the next topics.

Results and discussion

Quantitative analysis of the results

The systematic review was conducted in November 2022. In the identification phase, 814 results were initially found, as illustrated in Figure 1. There were 98 results using the Engineering Village database, 101 in Scopus, 86 in Web of Science, 108 in ASCE Library, 159 in Springer Link, 94 in Wiley Online Library, and 168 in Taylor & Francis. Then, in the screening phase, the exclusion criteria were assigned according to the reading of the title and abstract of the articles, and all remaining articles were retrieved from the databases to apply the other exclusion criteria after the complete reading. After the screening phase, 19 articles were obtained for qualitative synthesis.

Concerning the articles included per database, Scopus, Web of Science, and Engineering Village were the primary contributors to the research literature. The Springer Link and Wiley Online Library databases were not included in the systematic review, as indicated in Figure 2. Scopus demonstrated the highest efficiency at 16.83%, leading other databases such as Web of Science (16.28%) and Engineering Village (13.27%). ASCE Library followed at 4.63%, while Taylor & Francis showed the least efficiency at 0.60%.

Most of the articles were published in Automation in Construction (5), followed by Construction Management and Economics (2), Journal of Construction Engineering and Management (2), Journal of Information Technology in Construction (2), Applied Sciences (1), Construction Economics and Building (1), Construction Innovation (1), Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management (1), Ergonomics (1), International Journal of Construction Management (1), Journal of Architectural Engineering (1) e Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering (1).

Regarding the analysis of the period of publication of the articles included in the research, indicated in Figure 3, it is possible to visualize that the first work involving the development of a digital tool for low publication rate until the last decade, where the research theme was more explored, being highlighted in the years 2020 and 2022 as a period of greater production, with three articles published in each of them.

Figure 1. Fluxogram PRISMA. Source: The authors.

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

 S copus

■ Web of Science

- Engineering Village
- $$
- Taylor & Francis

Figure 2. Number of articles included in the search per database. Source: The authors.

Figure 3. Publication period. Source: The authors.

Regarding the number of authors by nationality, being the United States, with 24 authors, the country with more authors developing studies on the research theme, followed by China (11), United Kingdom (11), Singapore (9), England (6), South Korea (3), Portugal (3), Bangladesh (2), India (1) and Israel (1). In Figure 4, the list of keywords present in the articles of the qualitative analysis is presented. Among the greatest recurrences, there are the terms: Construction safety (6), prevention through design (5), Safety (5), Design for safety (5), BIM (4) and Building information modelling (4).

Figure 4. Keyword cloud. Source: The authors.

Qualitative analysis of the results

Among the studies included in the systematic review, 12 address the Development and Application of a tool for PtD and these only address the Development of the tool, as shown in Figure 5.

Development and application Development

Figure 5. Relationship of development and application of PtD tools. Source: The authors.

The literature analyzed indicates that the use of digital tools for PtD allows to assist in the identification of potential safety risks in the project, in an automated or manual way, as well as in an automated approach, there is possibility of the tool to present methods of prevention and mitigation corresponding to the identified risks (LEE *et al*., 2020). The identification of prevention and mitigation methods in the design phase is necessary not only to assist in risk control, it helps security managers to anticipate safety planning, increasing the productivity of the construction team and reducing reconstruction costs (GAMBATESE *et al*., 1997; TEO *et al*., 2016).

Table 2 identifies the articles included in this research, arranging them according to the authors, year of publication, resource used to use the digital tool, the possibility of automatic risk checking, provision of safety suggestions or risk mitigation measures, automatic correction capability in the project, sources used to compile the digital tool database and articles that addressed the development and/or application

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

of digital tools.

Looking at Table 2, it turns out that 52.63% of the tools are able to automate risk checking 73.68% are able to provide security measures. It is possible to realize that the technology BIM is present in 52.63% of the studies and in 80.00% of the studies that have automated risk verification, of these, only one tool presented the possibility of performing adjustments automatically in the project. The use of BIM stands out in helping prevention through the project, as it can contribute to improving workflow management in the planning stage, reducing efforts in the safety planning stage and integrating stakeholders in the project (TEO *et al., 2016*). PtD tools that make use of BIM work with three-dimensional projects, being able to conduct a better visibility of risk situations in the project, (HARE *et al*., 2020; HOSSAIN *et al*., 2018; QI *et al*., 2014), in addition to promoting the development of reports with three-dimensional details, with less time and effort, compared to manual methods (RODRIGUES *et al*., 2020).

According to some authors, the automated process of PtD tools that make use of BIM can be used by designers who do not have extensive knowledge of construction and safety, because the digital tool can identify all the risks that were inserted in its programming, because the digital tool can identify all the risks that were inserted in its programming. (JIN *et al*., 2019; SACKS *et al*., 2015). However, for other authors, the use of PtD tools that are based on BIM models is directly linked to the information contained in the model, such as geometry, schedule and standardization of nomenclatures, if there is absence or misunderstanding of information in the BIM model, the digital tool for PtD cannot perform the analysis accurately (TEO *et al*., 2016). Therefore, even though most digital PtD tools enable the automation of the project analysis process, their use needs to be audited by a safety expert with knowledge of the design and BIM model information. Additionally, since the model information directly impacts the automated analysis of digital tools, PtD should be planned during the design phase where the model information is defined and standardized.

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

Although they have the possibility of automatically identifying risks in the design, the absence of considering the dynamism of the work is indicated as one of the main limitations of the PtD tools (DHARMAPALAN *et al*., 2015; HADIKUSUMO; LEE *et al*., 2020; ROWLINSON, 2002; NUSSBAUM *et al*., 2009; QI *et al*., 2014; SACKS *et al*., 2015; YUAN *et al*., 2019). To mitigate this limitation, we can consider the location and time variables in the PtD tool, through these two variables, it will be possible to Yesulate a partially real construction process (HADIKUSUMO; ROWLINSON, 2002). Therefore, it is recommended to integrate the schedule with the BIM model to maximize the potential use of the tools PtD (COLLINGE *et al*., 2022; JIN *et al*., 2019; QI *et al*., 2014; YUAN *et al*., 2019).

To enable automatic risk checking and provision of safety measures, it is necessary to collect data and information about the work environment, the processes that occur in it, safety guidelines and historical injury data. Databases are important tools for storing and organizing this data. They allow information to be easily accessed and analyzed, assisting in the risk identification process and in the preparation of preventive measures (JIN *et al*., 2019). Thus, the use of databases in the development of prevention programs through the project is fundamental to ensure the safety and well-being of workers. When producing a database, authors identified limitations regarding the insufficiency of available data on risk identification through the design, claiming that there are safety guidelines fragmented in several literature, an exhaustive work is required to compile them into a single database (LEE *et al*., 2020). During compilation of this information, it is noticeable that there are data that have regional restrictions, not adapting to the needs, when a user of the PtD tool uses it for another region (HOSSAIN *et al*., 2018). Therefore, it is important to design the databases to allow the updating of their information by users from other regions (COLLINGE *et al*., 2022).

Another limitation of databases for PtD tools, is that they can become obsolete easily, because, when there is a review of regulations or guidelines, it will be necessary to update the database, which may cause rework and manual effort (YUAN *et al*., 2022). However, some authors indicate that the possibility of creating a mechanism to analyze the rules parametrically can make database information more flexible to adapt to different countries and to the updates of standards and guidelines (HOSS-AIN; AHMED, 2022, LEE *et al*., 2020).

Conclusions

Design decisions made by designers influence the entire life cycle of a construction project, so the introduction of the PtD concept in the design phase increases the possibility of eliminating risks. In this context, this paper reviewed 19 articles that developed and used new digital tools to help designers identify safety risks in the project and change design decisions to eliminate risk before construction.

Through the low number of published studies, this article shows that the development of tools for PtD still has areas to be deepened and limitations to be studied. Most PtD digital tools analyzed already have automated risk checking and provide suggestions to mitigate them. However, there are still studies to be carried out, contemplating the schedule and conditions of the workplace, aiming to make the tool more effective when considering risks arising from the dynamism of construction. Despite the automation provided by the PtD tool, its use is indicated for safety specialists with knowledge in design and BIM model information.

BIM technology is present in most digital tools, assisting not only in the three-dimensional visualization of the building, but also having significant utility by allowing information to be linked to the model elements, facilitating automatic analysis by digital

tools. In addition, the use of BIM for the PtD should not be seen as an isolated application, since the beginning of the model development, it is important to link information in the model for analysis in digital tools for making risk verification an integral part of BIM technology.

In future research, location and time information can be considered, such as schedule, work logistics, use of equipment and information on soil and terrain components, to reduce the limitation of PtD tools in yesulating the work dynamism. Considering that there are still few PtD tools developed and limitations to be studied, it is suggested to make the digital tools available to designers from different countries, and during their use, collect feedback on the experiences, to obtain improvements for the applicability of the PtD tool in projects of various types and in different regions.

Finally, efforts to build a database of security elements more complete and easily upgradeable need to be carried out to allow greater acceptance of designers to new technologies and to allow automatic project reviewers to keep up with new construction activities and revisions of regulations. Although they do not occur as quickly as they should, advances in PtD technologies and digital tools in construction are important. Previous research shows the historical need to increase construction safety, and scientists are interested in providing new approaches. This demonstrates the great potential of the PtD study area to contribute to future scientific achievements.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the under Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) – Brazil, Grant Funding Code 001.

References

ABUEISHEH, Qusai *et al*. Design for safety implementation among design professionals in construction: The context of Palestine. **Safety Science**, Países Baixos, v. 128, p. 104742, 2020.

AKINLOLU, Mariam *et al*. A bibliometric review of the status and emerging research trends in construction safety management technologies. **International Journal of Construction Management**, v. 22, n. 14, p. 2699-2711, 2022.

AKOBENG, Anthony K. Principles of evidence based medicine. **Archives of disease in childhood**, v. 90, n. 8, p. 837-840, 2005.

ANTWI-AFARI, Maxwell Fordjour *et al*. A science mapping-based review of workrelated musculoskeletal disorders among construction workers. **Journal of Safety Research**, 2023.

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

AZEVEDO, Vinícius *et al.* Uso da realidade aumentada no ensino de projeto de engenharia civil. **arq.urb**, n. 36, p. 67-79, *2023.*

AZEVEDO, Vinícius; MORAES, Andréa; LIRA, Hiran, Tutoring as a Tool to ExploreNew Teaching Methodologies in the Classroom in Engineering Classes of the Uni-versity of Pernambuco. In: INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON GEOMETRYAND GRAPHICS, 2020. **Anais [...].** Springer, Cham, 2021. p. 811-819. DOI10.1007/978-3-030-63403-2_74.

BARROS, Mercia. **Metodologia para implantação de tecnologias construtivas racionalizadas na produção de edifícios**. 1996. Tese (Doutorado em Engenharia Civil) - Escola Politécnica da Universidade de São Paulo: São Paulo, Brazil. 1996.

CALDAS, Lucas Rosse; TOLEDO FILHO, Romildo Dias. Avaliação ambiental do sistema construtivo de alvenaria de blocos de solo-cimento considerando diferentes especificações de projeto. **Gestão & Tecnologia de Projetos**, v. 16, n. 2, p. 149- 172, 2021.

COLLINGE, William H. *et al*. BIM-based construction safety risk library. **Automation in Construction**, v. 141, p. 104391, 2022.

COMAS, Carlos Eduardo Dias. Ruminações recentes: reforma/reciclagem/restauro. **arq.urb**, n. 35, p. 50-56, 2022.

DANIELSSON, Oscar; HOLM, Magnus; SYBERFELDT, Anna. Augmented reality smart glasses in industrial assembly: Current status and future challenges. **Journal of Industrial Information Integration**, v. 20, p. 100175, 2020.

DHARMAPALAN, Vineeth *et al*. Quantification and assessment of safety risk in the design of multistory buildings. **Journal of Construction Engineering and Management**, v. 141, n. 4, p. 04014090, 2015.

DEWLANEY, Katie Shawn; HALLOWELL, Matthew. Prevention through design and construction safety management strategies for high performance sustainable building construction. **Construction Management and Economics**, v. 30, n. 2, p. 165- 177, 2012.

DOOREN, Elise. Anchoring the design process: A framework to make the designerly way of thinking explicit in architectural design education. **A+ BE| Architecture and the Built Environment**, n. 17, p. 176-176, 2020.

DROUOT, Mathilde *et al*. Augmented reality on industrial assembly line: Impact on effectiveness and mental workload. **Applied Ergonomics**, v. 103, p. 103793, 2022.

FERREIRA, Karine Lopes; AGOSTINI, Bruno Márcio. Influência do projeto arquitetônico na segurança do trabalho-prevenção de acidentes em obras. **Revista Científica Multidisciplinar**, Brasil, v. 3, n. 1, p. e311064-e311064, 2022.

GALIMI, STEFANO *et al*. Retrofit em obras tombadas de infraestrutura urbana: o caso do Viaduto sobre a Galeria dos Estados. **Paranoá**, n. 26, p. 140-156, 2020.

GAMBATESE, John A.; HINZE, Jimmie W.; HAAS, Carl T. Tool to design for construction worker safety. **Journal of Architectural Engineering**, v. 3, n. 1, p. 32-41, 1997.

GOLABCHI, Alireza; HAN, SangUk; ABOURIZK, Simaan. A simulation and visualization-based framework of labor efficiency and safety analysis for prevention through design and planning. **Automation in Construction**, v. 96, p. 310-323, 2018.

FARGHALY, Karim *et al*. Construction safety ontology development and alignment with industry foundation classes (IFC). **Journal of Information Technology in Construction**, v. 27, p. 94-108, 2022a.

FARGHALY, Karim *et al*. Digital information technologies for prevention through design (PtD): a literature review and directions for future research. **Construction Innovation**, v. 22, n. 4, p. 1036-1058, 2022b.

HARDISON, Dylan *et al*. The influence of spatial cognition and variability of mental workload among construction hazard prevention through design tasks. **Safety Science**, v. 152, p. 105770, 2022.

HADIKUSUMO, B. H. W.; ROWLINSON, Steve. Integration of virtually real construction model and design-for-safety-process database. **Automation in Construction**, v. 11, n. 5, p. 501-509, 2002.

HARE, Billy; KUMAR, Bimal; CAMPBELL, Julie. Impact of a multi-media digital tool

on identifying construction hazards under the UK construction design and management regulations. **Journal of Information Technology in Construction**, v. 25, p. 482-499, 2020.

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

HOSSAIN, Md Aslam *et al*. Design-for-safety knowledge library for BIM-integrated safety risk reviews. **Automation in Construction**, v. 94, p. 290-302, 2018.

HOSSAIN, Md Mehrab; AHMED, Shakil. Developing an automated safety checking system using BIM: a case study in the Bangladeshi construction industry. **International Journal of Construction Management**, v. 22, n. 7, p. 1206-1224, 2022.

HU, Songbo; FANG, Yihai; MOEHLER, Robert. Estimating and visualizing the exposure to tower crane operation hazards on construction sites. **Safety Science**, v. 160, p. 106044, 2023.

HU, Jinxin *et al*. Automatic Generation Construction Shop Design Model of the MEP Hanger Based on BIM. **Buildings**, v. 13, n. 4, p. 867, 2023.

ISMAIL, Shafienaz *et al*. Analysis of attributes critical to the designer's prevention through design competence in construction: The case of Malaysia. **Architectural Engineering and Design Management**, v. 18, n. 3, p. 325-343, 2022.

JIN, Ziyu *et al*. Using 4D BIM to assess construction risks during the design phase. Engineering, **Construction and Architectural Management**, v. 26, n. 11, p. 2637- 2654, 2019.

JIN, Ziyu *et al*. Analysis of prevention through design studies in construction: A subject review. **Journal of Safety Research**, 2022.

JIN, Ziyu *et al*. Analysis of prevention through design studies in construction: A subject review. **Journal of Safety Research**, v. 84, p. 138-154, 2023.

JOHANSEN, Karsten W.; SCHULTZ, C.; TEIZER, Jochen. Automated performance assessment of prevention through design and planning (PtD/P) strategies in construction. **Automation in Construction**, v. 157, p. 105159, 2024.

KHALIL, Natasha; SAMSUDIN, Nor Syamimi; ZAINONABIDIN, Azman. The Sustainable Aspect of Safety in Architectural Early Design: An Introduction to Prevention through Design (PtD) Concept. **International Journal of Sustainable Construction Engineering and Technology**, v. 13, n. 2, p. 34-50, 2022.

LEE, Yongha; KIM, Inhan; CHOI, Jungsik. Development of BIM-based risk rating estimation automation and a design-for-safety review system. **Applied Sciences**, v. 10, n. 11, p. 3902, 2020.

LI, Beidi *et al*. Towards a unifying domain model of construction safety, health and well-being: SafeConDM. **Advanced Engineering Informatics**, Inglaterra, v. 51, p. 101487, 2022.

LU, Ying *et al*. BIM-integrated construction safety risk assessment at the design stage of building projects. **Automation in Construction**, v. 124, p. 103553, 2021.

LUO, Fansong *et al*. Economic development and construction safety research: A bibliometrics approach. **Safety science**, Países Baixos, v. 145, p. 105519, 2022.

MALEKITABAR, Hassan *et al*. Construction safety risk drivers: A BIM approach. **Safety science**, v. 82, p. 445-455, 2016.

NNAJI, Chukwuma; AWOLUSI, Ibukun; GAMBATESE, John. A systematic review of technology acceptance models and theories in construction research. **Journal of Information Technology in Construction (ITcon)**, v. 28, n. 3, p. 39-69, 2023.

NGUYEN, Long D.; TRAN, Dai Q.; CHANDRAWINATA, Martin P. Predicting safety risk of working at heights using Bayesian networks. **Journal of Construction Engineering and Management**, v. 142, n. 9, p. 04016041, 2016.

NIELSEN, Kent J. *et al*. National culture and occupational safety–a comparison of worker-level factors impacting safety for Danish and Swedish construction workers. **Construction Management and Economics**, p. 1-12, 2023.

NUSSBAUM, Maury A. *et al*. Development of a decision support system for residential construction using panellised walls: Approach and preliminary results. **Ergonomics**, v. 52, n. 1, p. 87-103, 2009.

OSBURN, Laura; LEE, Hyun Woo; GAMBATESE, John A. Formal Prevention through Design Process and Implementation for Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing Worker Safety. **Journal of Management in Engineering,** v. 38, n. 5, p. 05022011, 2022.

OSHA. **Commonly Used Statictics. United States Department of Labor**, Estados Unidos, 2019. https://www.osha.gov/oshstats/commonstats.html, Acessado em: 18 Jun 2022.

PAGE, Matthew J. *et al*. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. **International Journal of Surgery**, Inglaterra, v. 88, p. 105906, 2021.

Accident prevention in construction: an analysis of the use of digital tools for risk mitigation in the design

PAIVA, Pedro Paulo Dantas. Análise de acidente e caracterização de risco na operação de telescopagem de grua por sistema mecânico de transferência de esforços. **Revista da Escola Nacional da Inspeção do Trabalho**, 2020.

POGHOSYAN, Anush *et al*. Design for safety implementation factors: a literature review. **Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology**, Inglaterra, v. 6 n. 5, p. 783-797, 2018.

QI, Jia *et al*. Use of building information modeling in design to prevent construction worker falls. **Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering**, v. 28, n. 5, p. A4014008, 2014.

RAMOS, Suzany Rangel; RAMOS, Larissa Leticia Andara; LYRA, Ana Paula Rabello. Espaço público e vitalidade: Parque linear como instrumento de reconciliação em área residual da infraestrutura viária. **arq.urb**, n. 24, p. 126-145, 2019.

RODRIGUES, Fernanda; ANTUNES, Flávio; MATOS, Raquel. Safety plugins for risks prevention through design resourcing BIM. **Construction Innovation**, v. 21 n. 2, p. 244-258, 2020.

SACKS, Rafael. *et al*. Safety by design: dialogues between designers and builders using virtual reality. **Construction Management and Economics**, v. 33, n. 1, p. 55- 72, 2015.

SAMSUDIN, Nor Syamimi *et al*. A thematic review on Prevention through design (PtD) concept application in the construction industry of developing countries. **Safety science**, Países Baixos, v. 148, p. 105640, 2022.

SCOPEL, Vanessa Guerini. Percepção do ambiente e a influência das decisões arquitetônicas em espaços de trabalho. **arq.urb**, n. 13, p. 153-170, 2015.

SILVA, Felipe Tavares. Experiências com ferramentas digitais no ensino de estruturas arquitetônicas. **PARC Pesquisa em Arquitetura e Construção**, v. 12,

p. e021022-e021022, 2021.

SOUTO, Ana Elisa; CONTO, Vanessa. A modelagem física tridimensional como instrumento de ensino e aprendizagem de projeto arquitetônico. **arq. urb**, n. 31, p. 86-98, 2021.

TAMOŠAITIENĖ, Jolanta *et al*. Assessing the barriers and risks to private sector participation in infrastructure construction projects in developing countries of Middle East. **Sustainability**, v. 13, n. 1, p. 153, 2020.

TEO, Ai Lin Evelyn *et al*. Design for safety: theoretical framework of the safety aspect of BIM system to determine the safety index. **Construction Economics and Building**, v. 16, n. 4, p. 1-18, 2016.

TYMVIOS, Nicholas *et al*. Revisiting Lorent and Szymberski: Evaluating how research in prevention through design is interpreted and cited. **Safety Science**, Países Baixos, v. 131, p. 104927, 2020.

VAN DE POEL, Ibo; ROBAEY, Zoë. Safe-by-design: From safety to responsibility. **Nanoethics**, Países Baixos, v. 11, n. 3, p. 297-306, 2017.

VASCONCELOS, Bianca M.. **Modelo de gestão de prevenção de acidentes para a fase de concepção**. 2013. Tese (Doutorado em Engenharia Civil) - Faculdade de Engenharia da Universidade do Porto: Porto, Portugal. 2013.

VASCONCELOS, Bianca; JUNIOR, Béda Barkokébas. The causes of work place accidents and their relation to construction equipment design. **Procedia Manufacturing**, v. 3, p. 4392-4399, 2015.

VELOSO Luciana Bracarense Coimbra; DE SOUZA, Henor Artur. Abordagem Qualitativa e Projetos de Restauro do Patrimônio Edificado. **arq.urb**, n. 22, p. 66-79, 2018.

WU, Shaoze *et al*. Real-time mixed reality-based visual warning for construction workforce safety. **Automation in Construction**, Países Baixos, v. 139, p. 104252, 2022.

XIA, Nini *et al*. Antecedents of Workplace Safety Behavior: Meta-Analysis in the Construction Industry. **Journal of Construction Engineering and Management**, v. 149, n. 4, p. 04023009, 2023.

XIE, Xuanfeng *et al*. Automated rule checking for MEP systems based on BIM and KBMS. **Buildings**, v. 12, n. 7, p. 934, 2022.

XU, Wenpei; WANG, Ting-Kwei. Construction Worker Safety Prediction and Active Warning Based on Computer Vision and the Gray Absolute Decision Analysis Method. **Journal of Construction Engineering and Management**, v. 149, n. 4, p. 04023014, 2023.

YANO, Bruna Bessa Rocha; DE MELO MOURA, Jorge Daniel. Projeto de abrigo emergencial: etapas e desafios a partir de um método construtivo com materiais de refugo. **arq.urb**, n. 31, p. 74-85, 2021.